

**Assertion Report of GHG Emission for Financial Year 2015-2016**

**The Great Eastern Shipping Co. Ltd.**

## Chapter 1 – Organization Profile

### 1.1 History of Organization

THE GREAT EASTERN SHIPPING COMPANY LTD., incorporated in 1948, has expanded steadily first under the leaderships of Late Vasant J. Sheth, Mr. K.M. Sheth and now Mr B.K. Sheth to become India's largest shipping company in the private sector.

Although established in 1948, the Company traces its roots back to the First World War.

During World War 1, the firm of Jagjiwan Ujamshi Mulji was set up in Bombay by Chunilal, Maneklal and Jagjiwan, the three sons of Ujamshi Natalia. The firm operated as a trader in various commodities for 15 years, until the Great Depression of the late 1920s. In 1929, the brothers joined forces with Sir Dossabhai Bhiwandiwalla, and set up the firm of A.H. Bhiwandiwalla & Co. (AHB as the firm came to be popularly known).

In 1948, AHB acquired a cargo vessel, the Fort Ellice, renamed Jag Vijay. This was meant to be an adjunct to the trading operations: however, it soon became clear that a capital intensive business like shipping needed an autonomous Company.

The Great Eastern Shipping Company Ltd. was, therefore, set up with 40% of its equity subscribed to by Shree Changdeo Sugar Mills, and the rest by the Sheth-Mulji and Bhiwandiwalla families. The operations of the Company were left to Vasant J. Sheth, youngest son of Jagjiwan Ujamshi Mulji, one of the founders of the original trading firm.

During the late 1970s, the Bhiwandiwallas retired from the business, selling their interest in AHB (by now an incorporated Company) to their partners.

Given the cyclic nature of the shipping industry, the need for diversification was always in the mind of the management. When the government decided to throw open the area of offshore supply vessels to the private sector, The G E Shipping was the first to take the plunge in 1982, at the initiative of Mr. K.M. Sheth.

From the very beginning, The G E Shipping has been on the leading edge. The company has not been afraid to try out new technologies, not worried about going against the tide. Not surprisingly the Company has an impressive history of firsts to its credit, e.g. in 1956, the company acquired its first oil tanker – it was also India's first. It proved so successful that Company's tanker fleet has just kept growing. In the same year German ship building company Blohm & Voss produced a revolutionary "pioneer" type of ship. The G E Shipping was the first company in the world to opt for it, and there after ordered four more ships in India, to that design. In 1962, the company was the first shipping company to start regular liner services to carry general cargo from the Pacific coast of the US and Canada to India.

The fleet now comprises tramp ships which includes oil tankers, chemical tankers, gas carriers and bulk carriers. The company's operations are global.

The Company has at its helm the Executive Chairman Mr. K. M. Sheth and the Deputy Chairman and Managing Director Mr. Bharat Sheth. Under their stewardship Company continues to perform and

deliver results in an increasingly competitive environment duly deserving the honour of India's premier shipping organization.

## **1.2 Brief Description of present activities**

G E Shipping enjoys a formidable presence in the international maritime industry. The shipping business operates under two main sectors: dry bulk carriers and tankers (oil, chemical and gas). The tankers enjoy approvals from oil giants like SHELL, BP, EXXONMOBIL, CHEVRON TEXACO, TOTALFINA to name a few. As of 31<sup>st</sup> March 2016 the fleet consisted of 31 vessels (22 Tankers and 9 Bulk Carriers) totalling 2.41 million Deadweight Tonnes with an average age of 10.4 years.

Backed by an enviable clientele comprising industry leaders, international oil companies and governments who vouch for its services, the division has earned the status of being the most preferred shipping service provider. With a pulse on the global market and a thorough understanding of the ever-evolving market needs, the division is well-equipped to anticipate the demands of its clients and to deliver on its commitments, successfully and satisfactorily.

The Company operates its vessels on voyage charter (spot) as well as period charter (time).

The company management system is certified to ISO 9001: 2008, ISO 14001: 2004 and OHSAS 18001: 2007 standards by DNV-GL.

## **1.3 Objective of this report**

The objective of this report is to capture and quantify GHG emission from our owned vessels in a transparent and standardized manner for the information of stakeholders of the Company on a voluntary basis. G. E. Shipping is committed to reduce GHG emission from its vessels and has been taking several measures to do so by way of retrofitting vessels with energy saving devices, better hull surface management, close monitoring of fuel efficient operation of vessels and now embarking on quantification and reporting of GHG emission from its vessels to facilitate taking informed decisions with respect to further enhancing energy efficiency of ships.

The GHG emission quantification and reporting is done taking into account:

- ISO 14064-1 (2006) "Greenhouse gases – Part 1: Specification with guidance at the organization level for quantification and reporting of greenhouse gas emissions and removals, and
- The Greenhouse Gas Protocol – A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised edition) published by World Business Council for Sustainable Development and World Resources Institute.

## **1.4 Roles and responsibilities of Vessel Performance Management cell**

Vessel Performance Management Department was established in early 2014 with following responsibilities:

- Fuel Efficiency Performance monitoring of vessels
- Establishing and monitoring related Operational KPIs
- Providing MIS to Management (Quarterly, Annually)
- Identification and review of Energy Saving Technologies

- Preparation / presentation of decision support documents for Management approval;
- Enhancing Fuel Efficiency of Vessels through retrofitment of Energy Saving Devices / Operational Measures in co-ordination with Technical / Operation / IT Depts.;
- Training / Awareness building on Energy Efficiency of Personnel ashore and onboard vessels
- Quantification and reporting of GHG inventory.

The Head of Department reports to Executive Director and President (Shipping).

## **1.5 Management System and Policies**

### **1.5.1 Mission Statement**

Consistent with the Company's policy and philosophy of maintaining professional excellence in all spheres of activity involving marine bulk transportation service, our mission shall be:

- To own, operate and manage ships with zero spills to sea and zero incidents, fully protecting the lives of shipboard personnel, cargo and company's own assets;
- To provide a highly efficient and competitive marine bulk transportation service of quality, cost reliability delivery and security;
- To achieve excellence in our management systems and standards by employing best practices through an efficient and responsive management and an empowered and highly motivated work force;
- To create enhanced value for our shareholders and other stake holders.

### **1.5.2 COMPANY POLICY**

With utmost consideration for the Safety of Life and Property and the Protection of Environment, the Company dedicates itself to meet the expectations of its Customers and the aspirations of its Stakeholders by ensuring that effective Safety, Quality and Environmental Management Systems are in place that comply with the relevant National and International Codes, Standards, Statutory and Regulatory requirements.

In line with our strategy to be a market leader, a preferred safe service provider and an Organization caring for the environment, we shall monitor and ensure the effectiveness and continual improvement of our systems, leading to excellence.

With our firm belief in team success, we shall achieve our objectives by providing training and adequate resources to a highly motivated and an empowered workforce with due regard to their health and safety.

### **1.5.3 INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT SYSTEM POLICY**

Recognizing that achieving excellence in respect of safety, protection of environment, quality, occupational health and safety (OH&S) and security is paramount to the success of our business, we give highest importance to these aspects. Accordingly, we commit ourselves to:

- Understanding and meeting the requirements and needs of our customers and striving to exceed their expectations;
- Building mutually beneficial relationships with suppliers, agents and company personnel;

- Improving operational, technical and commercial performance of ships operated by us;
- Complying with applicable Flag and Port State legislations and other requirements, as applicable in respect of environment and OH&S and those applicable to quality aspects of our service;
- Maintaining an optimum spare parts inventory based on critical equipment and system redundancy identified on all vessels;
- Providing for safe practices in ship operation and a safe and healthy working environment;
- Identifying all relevant hazards to the safety, health and security, assessing the risks and establishing safeguards against all identified hazards, threats and risks;
- Ensuring that adequate attention is paid towards selection and career development of shore-based and shipboard personnel, with a view to ensure that all responsible persons are fully competent to perform the task that they are entrusted with;
- Continually enhancing the safety management skills of personnel ashore and on-board ships including preparing for emergencies related to safety, protection of environment and security;
- Establishing and monitoring the health standards of employees working in the shore-based offices and on-board the ships.
- Continually improving the performance and effectiveness of our quality, environmental and OH&S management systems;
- Preventing all types of pollution of sea by oil, garbage, sewage and other harmful substances;
- Reducing air pollution and reducing-recycling-reusing all types of waste;
- Preventing accidents, illnesses, damages, losses and deficiencies in our service;
- Conserving all types of natural resources including energy;

It is ensured that senior officers have appropriate experience and training on the particular type and size of ship. Company provides career development for junior officers and aims to recruit senior officers from within the company where possible. Senior officers are encouraged and appraised to always lead by example in safety-related issues.

Company commits itself to providing adequate resources and training to its personnel, whether shore-based or on board ships, to meet the requirements of this policy. It ensures that all personnel understand the policy and urges them to do everything possible to implement the same at all times.

#### **1.5.4 Policy on Conservation of Energy**

As a part of Company's policy for conservation of natural resources and protection of environment, the Company lays importance on conservation of energy. The Company is aware that we all depend on natural resources for all our energy requirements and the Company considers these resources as treasure.

The Company is concerned about the challenges presented by earth's diminishing natural resources and global warming in general and energy supply in particular.

To make our existing energy resources last longer and reduce green house gas emission, the Company is committed to:

- Making a sincere, controlled and conscientious effort in use of available resources (fossil fuel) with a view to deal with availability and crisis;

- Putting limits wherever practicable, on use of facilities with a view to reduce consumption;
- Avoiding inefficient and improper use of resources thus controlling waste of energy;
- Adopting better methods in work area such as proper and timely maintenance of ship and her machinery and equipment.

### **1.5.5 Corporate Social Responsibility Vision Statement and Objective**

For G E Shipping, CSR means responsible business policies that are ethical, equitable, environmentally conscious, gender sensitive, and sensitive towards the Differently abled. This policy, which has been formulated in alignment with the vision of the company, lays down guidelines and mechanisms to be adopted by the Company in order to carry out CSR Projects/Programs.

The objectives of this policy are to–

- Demonstrate commitment to the common good through responsible business practices and good governance.
- Actively support the state’s development agenda to ensure sustainable and equitable change.
- Set high standards of quality in the delivery of services in the social sector by creating robust processes and replicable models.
- Engender a sense of empathy and equity among employees of G E Shipping to motivate them to give back to the society.

The structure for identification of these causes, and the modalities of the investment in these causes, are spelled out in detail in the following sections.

#### **1.5.5.1 Focus Area**

The Company’s CSR efforts will be focused in the areas of:

- a. Promoting education and knowledge enhancement, including but not limited to:
  - i) Establishment and management of educational and knowledge enhancement infrastructure;
  - ii) Provision of financial or other assistance to the needy and/or deserving students;
  - iii) Providing financial assistance to any Agency involved in education, knowledge enhancement and sports;
  - iv) Contribution to technology incubators located within academic institutions which are approved by the Central Government.
- b. Eradicating hunger, poverty, and malnutrition
- c. Promoting health care and sanitation

#### **1.5.6 Overall strategy on energy saving and pollution prevention**

The Company has established, implemented and maintains procedure(s) to identify the environmental aspects of its ship and shore-based operations defined within the scope of the environmental management system that it can control and those it can influence, taking into account planned or new developments or new or modified activities and services. The Company determines which environmental aspects have or can have a significant impact on the environment. The Company has documented this information and keeps it up-to-date. Proactive steps are taken to not only ensure compliance with statutory requirements but to stay ahead of the regulatory curve.

The Company ensures that the significant environmental aspects are taken into account in establishing, implementing and maintaining its environmental management system.

### **1.5.7 Reporting Period**

This assertion report is for Financial Year 2015-2016 i.e. 1<sup>st</sup> April 2015 to 31<sup>st</sup> March 2016. This being G E Shipping's first year of GHG emission accounting as per ISO 14064-1 standard is also to be considered as Base Year.

## **Chapter 2 – Scope and Boundary of GHG Reporting**

### **2.1 Description of GHG emissions**

#### **2.1.1 Organizational Boundary**

The organizational boundary for accounting of GHG emission is taken as vessels owned and managed by G.E. Shipping, electricity consumption at its Registered Office in Ocean House, Mumbai and electricity consumption at training academy of the Company known as Great Eastern Institute of Maritime Studies at Lonavala in Maharashtra.

On 1<sup>st</sup> April 2015 the fleet size was 30 vessels and 2.36 million Deadweight Tonnes. During the reporting period M.T. Jag Padma was acquired making the total fleet size as 31 vessels and 2.41 million Deadweight Tonnes.

Since this reporting is being voluntarily made for the stakeholders, the **control approach** is the most appropriate since the Company can be held accountable for vessels under their ownership and technical management only. It is to be also borne in mind that responsibility for ensuring compliance with international regulatory requirements for a vessel rests with ship owner and technical manager. Ships are generally employed under either "Voyage Charter" or "Time Charter".

A voyage charter can be defined as the hire contract for a vessel for one or a specified number of voyages to carry specified cargo/es at stipulated freight rates (or lumpsum) on agreed terms and conditions between designated load and discharge ports, port ranges or regions. The shipowner pays for all fuel the vessel consumes.

A time charter can be defined as the hire contract of a vessel for a specific period of time; the owner still manages the vessel but the charterer selects the ports and directs the vessel where to go. The charterer pays for all fuel the vessel consumes. The Charters pay a daily hire for the vessel.

G E Shipping charters out its owned vessels either on Voyage Charter or on Time Charter depending on the nature of charter that will provide best commercial benefit for a vessel at a given point in time. During the financial year, for all completed voyages, the number of sailing days of the fleet vessels was broadly 60% under Voyage Charter and 40% under Time Charter.

G. E. Shipping occasionally, to supplement its owned fleet, hires vessels under time charter over which it has no technical management control and as such these vessels are excluded from boundary of reporting under Scope 1 and Scope 2.

Greatship (India) Limited (GIL), wholly owned subsidiary (WOS) of The Great Eastern Shipping Company Ltd. is one of India's largest offshore oilfield services providers by way of owning and operating fleet of vessels. It is a separate company operated by its own Board of Directors and hence it is not included within the scope of this assertion report.

### **2.1.2 Operational Boundary**

G E Shipping accounts for and reports its emissions of all applicable Kyoto GHGs (i.e. carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), methane (CH<sub>4</sub>), nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) and hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs) from all direct sources of emissions.

#### **Sulphur Hexafluoride SF<sub>6</sub>**

Sulphur hexafluoride (SF<sub>6</sub>) is a colourless, odourless, non-toxic, non-flammable gas that has a high dielectric strength. It has been used as a dielectric in microwave frequencies, as an insulating medium for the power supplies of high-voltage machines on board ships. The use of SF<sub>6</sub> in electrical switchgear in general (all land, air and sea installations) is primarily (90%) concentrated on the high-voltage segment (>36 kV) and the remaining 10% for the medium (1 kV–36 kV) voltage segment (Schneider 2003). None of the G E Shipping vessels have such high voltage installations and consequently SF<sub>6</sub> emission is not applicable.

#### **Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)**

Several binary and ternary blends of various HFC, HCFC, PFC and hydrocarbon refrigerants have been developed to address continuing service demand for CFC-12. These blends are tailored to have physical and thermodynamic properties comparable to the requirements of the original CFC-12 refrigerant charge. In shipping industry this refrigerant is used for deep-freezing purposes (-40°C to -70°C) on reefer vessels and fishing vessels. None of our fleet vessels has such deep freezers and consequently use of PFCs is not applicable.

### **Scope 1 (Direct GHG emissions)**

- Emission resulting from combustion of fuel oils in company owned and managed vessels' main engines, auxiliary engines, boilers, inert gas generators (fitted on some of the tankers) and auxiliary engines for Framo pumps (fitted on some of the tankers).
- Emission resulting from unintentional releases of hydrofluorocarbon (HFC) used as refrigerants for air conditioning and provision refrigeration plants on company owned and managed vessels.

### **Scope 2 (Indirect GHG emissions from electricity consumption)**

- Emission from generation of purchased electricity consumed at Ocean House, Head Office of G E Shipping based in Mumbai and training academy of the Company known as Great Eastern Institute of Maritime Studies at Lonavala in Maharashtra.

## 2.2 Description of Assets

### 2.2.1 Assets in FY 2015-2016

**Table 2.2.1 – Assets (FY 2015-2016)**

Name of Vessel	IMO No.	Type of Vessel	Date of Built	Deadweight
Suezmax				
JAG LAKSHITA	9208057	Oil Tanker	22-May-00	147079.56
JAG LATEEF	9208069	Oil Tanker	31-Jul-00	147079.56
JAG LOK	9293507	Oil Tanker	01-Mar-05	158145.20
JAG LALIT	9297905	Oil Tanker	19-May-05	158344.00
Aframax				
JAG LAVANYA	9237412	Oil Tanker	19-Jan-04	105010.40
JAG LAXMI	9173642	Oil Tanker	12-Feb-99	105051.20
JAG LATA	9237618	Oil Tanker	19-May-03	105716.00
JAG LYALL	9308443	Oil Tanker	02-Jan-06	110530.80
LR1				
JAG AABHA	9388948	Oil Tanker	03-Nov-08	74867.70
JAG APARNA	9388936	Oil Tanker	09-Jun-09	74859.30
JAG AMISHA	9388924	Oil Tanker	03-Apr-09	74889.20
JAG AANCHAL	9390161	Oil Tanker	05-Dec-08	74811.16
MR				
JAG PRANAV	9281932	Oil Tanker	05-Jan-05	51383.00
JAG PRANAM	9310680	Oil Tanker	13-Dec-04	48694.00
JAG PRABHA	9270749	Oil Tanker	28-Oct-04	47999.00
JAG PUSHPA	9315733	Oil/Chemical Tanker	27-Apr-07	47848.00
JAG PRERANA	9321952	Oil/Chemical Tanker	04-Oct-07	47824.23
JAG PRAKASH	9315721	Oil/Chemical Tanker	28-Mar-07	47848.23
JAG PANKHI	9258686	Oil Tanker	21-May-03	46272.70
JAG PAHEL	9289506	Oil Tanker	12-Oct-04	46319.30
JAG PADMA (New)	9325348	Oil Tanker	27-Sep-2005	47999.00
Gas Carrier				
JAG VISHNU	9052331	Gas Carrier	25-Mar-1994	49353.00
Kamsarmax				
JAG ARNAV	9705354	Bulk Carrier	03-Jun-15	81732.00
JAG AARATI	9478200	Bulk Carrier	25-Feb-11	80325.20
JAG ADITI	9478195	Bulk Carrier	01-Apr-11	80325.20
JAG ARYA	9491288	Bulk Carrier	01-Jan-11	80480.40
Supramax				
JAG ROOPA	9317145	Bulk Carrier	19-Sep-06	52454.00
JAG RATAN	9222613	Bulk Carrier	20-Jul-01	52179.79
JAG RAHUL	9254484	Bulk Carrier	08-Jan-03	52364.00
JAG RANI	9456355	Bulk Carrier	08-Jul-11	56718.80
JAG RISHI	9456343	Bulk Carrier	01-Mar-11	56718.80

## 2.2.2 Assets acquired and sold in FY 2015 - 2016

Name of Vessel	IMO No.	Type of Vessel	Date of Built	Deadweight	Vessel Acquired on
JAG PADMA	9325348	Oil Tanker	27-Sep-2005	47999.00	January 2016

No vessel was sold during the reporting period.

## 2.2.3 Vessels taken on Charter in FY 2015 – 2016

Name of Vessel	IMO No.	Type of Vessel	Date of Built	Deadweight	Vessel taken on charter since
Erviken	9274812	Oil Tanker	15-Jun-2004	152146.5	July-2014

## 2.3 Uncertainty assessments and materiality threshold

### 2.3.1 Uncertainty Assessment

There are a few known sources of uncertainty in our assessment and these are as follows:

1. Accuracy margin of  $\pm 0.5\%$  of the volumetric fuel flow meters in use on board ships. The fuel flow meters are calibrated against fuel tank soundings for each voyage as per procedure documented in Fleet Operation Manual para 7.7.1.5.
2. Procedure for calculation of mass of fuel consumed from fuel flow meter readings is given in Fleet Operation Manual para 7.7.1.4. Uncertainty due to human error in incorrect use of the procedure is estimated to be  $\pm 0.5\%$ .
3. Total uncertainty is thus considered to be  $\pm 1.0\%$ .

#### 2.3.1.1 Completeness of data

In Live Vessel Information System (LIVIS) a Vessel Report Checklist has been incorporated which identifies status of Noon Report received from vessels for any selected period. Safety feature has been also incorporated which prevents sending Noon Report for a day without sending Noon Report of the preceding day. This ensures completeness of data.

#### 2.3.2 Materiality threshold

Materiality threshold is considered to be 1% and all emissions aggregated to that amount are not considered.

## 2.4 Inclusion / exclusion of assets and emissions

### Exclusions

Emission from incineration of oil residue / sludge on board vessels – MEPC.1/Circ.642 – 2008 Revised guidelines for systems for handling oily wastes in machinery spaces of ships incorporating guidance notes for an integrated bilge water treatment system (IBTIS) defines Oil Residue (Sludge) as follows: Oil residue (sludge) means the residual waste oil products such as those resulting from them purification of fuel or lubricating oil from main or auxiliary machinery or separated waste oil from bilge water separators, oil filtering equipment or oil collected in drip trays, and waste hydraulic and lubricating oils.

The volume of Oil Residue (sludge) (M<sup>3</sup>) incinerated for the entire fleet for FY 2015-2016 were 1458.5 M<sup>3</sup>. It is to be noted that the sludge consists of mixture of water, fuel oil and to certain extent used lubricating oils with constituents varying in uncertain proportions. This makes assessment of carbon content and CO<sub>2</sub> emission factor most uncertain. The sludge generated during the reporting year constituted about 0.75% of total fuel burnt under scope 1.

MARPOL Annex V Garbages in categories “C” (Domestic waste), “D” (Used cooking oil) and “F” (Operational waste) – Quantity of such garbages incinerated on board are reported by vessels and the aggregate quantity is found to be 122.87 M<sup>3</sup> for the entire fleet during the reporting period. It is to be noted that the garbage burnt is a mixture of various constituents making it very uncertain to establish carbon content and the GHG emission.

Since the total sludge and garbage quantity incinerated falls below Material Threshold limit, it is excluded.

For Ocean House, Office of G E Shipping, DG Sets are operated to augment power requirement during power supply failures, which happens rarely and hence excluded.

Also, LPG is used for cooking food for Cadets and Employees at GEIMS, Lonavala and at Ocean House for employees. In absence of reliable data of quantity of LPG used during the reporting period these are excluded.

### **Scope 3**

An emission under this head has not been considered. Scope 3 emissions for G E Shipping are as follows:

- Commuting and business travel by employees;
- Fuel used by inchartered vessels;
- Transportation of purchased fuel in bunker barge or road tankers;
- Disposal of waste generated in operation;
- Supply of electricity to vessel during dry docking etc.

The followings are not applicable to the activities of G E Shipping:

- Transportation of an organization’s product and materials – G E Shipping transports commodities between different locations and thereby emissions arising from consumption of fuels for propulsion and operation of vessels are included within Scope 1 emission. It does not manufacture or process any product, hence this is not applicable.
- Outsourced activities, contract manufacturing and franchises – None of companies business is outsourced, sub-contracted or franchised.

## **2.5 Listing of all GHG emission sources and sub-systems**

Emissions from combustion of fuel are found from individual vessel’s Noon Reports.

Emissions from Main engines, auxiliary engines, boilers, inert gas generators (fitted on some of the tankers), auxiliary engines for Frame pumps (fitted on some of the tankers) have been considered. Emissions from other energy-consuming sources (e.g. emergency generator, lifeboat engines etc.) were omitted because these are used only in cases of emergencies and hence during the reporting year overall contribution was insignificant.

## 2.6 Method for estimation for non-combustion emissions (Refrigerants, halogenated hydrocarbons)

R-22, R404a and R407c are used on board vessels for air conditioning and provision cooling purposes.

All these refrigerants have significant Global Warming Potential (GWP). The GWP is reported as CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent (CO<sub>2e</sub>): this describes the equivalent amount of CO<sub>2</sub> that would be needed to achieve the same warming effect. The numerical values of GWP for different substances used in this report were taken from the IPCC Fourth Assessment Report and are based on the latest IPCC estimate of CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the atmosphere.

## 2.7 Global warming potential of refrigerant emission from ships

The GWP100 is described relative to CO<sub>2</sub> warming potential (IPCC Fourth Assessment Report: Climate Change 2007)

**Table 2.7**

Refrigerant	CO <sub>2e</sub>
R-22	1810
R404a	3922
R407c	1774

## Chapter 3 – Methodology for GHG quantification

### 3.1 Consolidation Approach

The organizational boundary for accounting of GHG emission is taken as vessels owned and managed by G.E. Shipping. Since this reporting is being voluntarily made for the stakeholders, the control approach is the most appropriate since the Company can be held accountable for vessels under their technical management only.

For ships which have been sold or acquired during a specific financial year, the duration for which a specific vessel was under the ownership of the Company, emission for that duration has been taken into account.

### 3.2 Type of Fuel Consumed on fleet vessels and Conversion Factor

Emission Factors are taken from MEPC.245(66) - 2014 Guidelines on the Method of Calculation of the Attained Energy Efficiency Design Index (EEDI) for New Ships.

**Table 3.2**

Type of Fuel	Reference	Carbon content	Emission Factor (t-CO <sub>2</sub> / t-Fuel)
Diesel / Gas Oil	ISO 8217 Grades DMX	0.8744	3.206
Heavy Fuel Oil (HFO)	ISO 8217 Grades RME and RMG	0.8493	3.114

### 3.3 Method used for quantification of GHG emission

Engineers onboard ships quantify volume (M<sup>3</sup>) of fuel used in main engines, auxiliary engines and boilers by taking fuel flow meters readings every noon. Some oil tankers are additionally fitted with Inert Gas Generators for supplying inert gas into cargo oil tanks for fire safety. Volume (M<sup>3</sup>) of gas oil

used in IGGs is quantified from gauging of gas oil tanks every noon. On oil tankers where Framo Pumps (submerged cargo pumps) are used fuel oil used in Auxiliary Engines driving hydraulic pumps are quantified from gauging of fuel oil tanks every noon. These readings are recorded in vessel's log book.

Volume (M<sup>3</sup>) of different grades of fuel consumed is converted into mass (Tonnes\*) of fuel at reference temperature of 15<sup>0</sup>C by multiplying with appropriate density and application of temperature correction factor. The relevant procedure is given in para 7.7.1.4 of Fleet Operation Manual. The consumption figures are reported ashore through GE Nautical platform.

(\*Tonne - a metric system unit of mass equal to 1,000 kilograms (2,204.6 pounds) or 1 megagram (1 Mg). To avoid confusion with the smaller "short ton" and the slightly larger "long ton", the tonne is also known as a "metric ton"; in this report, the tonne is distinguished by its spelling.)

At the end of financial year quantities of different grades of fuel consumed on each vessel are collated from GE Nautical platform and multiplied by applicable Emission Factor to arrive at CO<sub>2</sub> emission from combustion of fuels. The same is shown in Table 5.1.

### 3.4 Estimation of emissions factors

Emission Factors (EFs) for CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O are obtained from Table 34 – Emissions factors for top-down emissions from combustion of fuel given in Third IMO GHG Study 2014. The estimation was also compared against Annex 6, Table 22 – Baseline Emission Factors given in the same study derived from bottom-up approach. Some differences with respect to some of the gases were noted however these were not significant in nature. The emission factors used are as follows:

**Table 3.4a**

Emission substance	Marine HFO emission factor g/g fuel	Marine MDO emissions factor (g/g fuel)	CO <sub>2e</sub>
CH <sub>4</sub>	0.00006	0.00006	25
N <sub>2</sub> O	0.00016	0.00015	298

**Table 3.4b – Emission sources, type of fuel consumed and conversion factors.**

Source	Type of fuel oil	Emission Factor (t-CO <sub>2</sub> / t-Fuel)
Main Engine	Heavy Fuel Oil – High Sulphur (HSFO)	3.114400
	Heavy Fuel Oil – Low Sulphur (LSFO)	3.114400
	Marine Diesel Oil (MDO)	3.206000
	Low Sulphur Marine Gas Oil (LSMGO)	3.206000
Auxiliary Engine	Heavy Fuel Oil – High Sulphur (HSFO)	3.114400
	Heavy Fuel Oil – Low Sulphur (LSFO)	3.114400
	Marine Diesel Oil (MDO)	3.206000
	Low Sulphur Marine Gas Oil (LSMGO)	3.206000
Boiler	Heavy Fuel Oil – High Sulphur (HSFO)	3.114400
	Heavy Fuel Oil – Low Sulphur (LSFO)	3.114400
	Marine Diesel Oil (MDO)	3.206000
	Low Sulphur Marine Gas Oil (LSMGO)	3.206000
Inert gas generators	Marine Diesel Oil (MDO)	3.206000

	Low Sulphur Marine Gas Oil (LSMGO)	3.206000
Auxiliary engines for Frame pumps	Marine Diesel Oil (MDO)	3.206000
	Low Sulphur Marine Gas Oil (LSMGO)	3.206000

### 3.5 Quality assurance and control

On board ships there can be errors in measured fuel consumption values due to various reasons, namely human error (during reading, measuring, recording), inaccuracy of measuring devices (mostly stated by the manufacturer: precision, drift, bias, non-linearity). However, since we have aggregated the data to yearly total for each vessel this process of aggregation reduces the uncertainty in daily observations.

Several procedures are in place to ensure accuracy of data. Chief Engineers and Second Engineers are specifically briefed during their pre-joining briefing on the importance of accuracy in reporting of speed and fuel consumption values for meaningful fuel efficiency performance evaluation of vessels. Method for calculation of fuel oil consumption has been standardized through documented procedure given in Fleet Operation Manual Section 7.7.1.4. Procedure of periodical calibration of fuel flow meters has been introduced in the same Manual in Section 7.7.1.5.

During the reporting year our evaluation of the Fuel Flow meter calibration showed error margin of 0.43% for a sample size of 8 vessels which is within the estimated figure of 0.5%.

Fuel consumption figures sent from vessels every noon through GE Nautical was assessed for error. For the FY 2015-2016 the number and types of errors found is shown in Table below. All errors were corrected prior to quantification.

**Table 3.5**

<b>Types of errors</b>	<b>Number of cases</b>	<b>Difference in fuel oil quantity accounting for the year (Tonnes)</b>
Error with placement of decimal point	12	49.5
Interchanged reporting of fuel consumption values between main engines and auxiliary engines i.e. aux engine consumption is reported as main engine consumption and main engine consumption is reported as aux engine consumption.	36	Nil
Other errors	6	222.5

#### 3.5.1 GHG reporting roles and responsibilities

Chief Engineers of vessels are responsible for reporting of fuel consumption values as per Company procedures given in Fleet Operation Manual.

Head of Information technology Division is responsible for maintaining IT platform for storing and transmission of data ashore.

Data Analyst - Vessel Performance Management Cell is responsible for

- accurate quantification of GHG emission ensuring that all sources of emissions are accounted for;
- Indexing and retention of all relevant supporting records in easily retrievable condition.

Manager – VPM Cell is responsible for

- Uncertainty assessment of fuel flow meters and fuel measurement procedure and documentation of the data.

Head – Vessel Performance Management Cell is responsible for

- developing and maintaining documented procedure for quantification and reporting of GHG emission taking into account GHG reporting principles of “relevance”, “completeness”, “consistency”, “transparency” and “accuracy”;
- reviewing and approving GHG emission report;
- Co-ordination with 3<sup>rd</sup> Party Verification Bodies

## **Chapter 4 – Energy Saving Initiative**

### **4.1 Description of energy saving initiatives implemented in FY 2015-2016 and earlier years.**

#### **4.1.1 Energy Saving Devices FY 2015-2016**

During FY 2015-2016 following Energy Saving Devices (ESDs) were retrofitted for reducing fuel consumption of main propulsion system:

a) Jag Lok, Jag Lakshita, Jag Lateef, Jag Aditi and Jag Aarati were retrofitted with Mewis Ducts, a device which improves the flow of water on to propeller and thus its efficiency. These devices were designed as per hull and propeller characteristics of respective ships. Model tests were carried out to confirm energy savings that may be achieved in loaded as well as ballast conditions over various speeds. Only on completion of satisfactory tests, full scale Mewis Ducts were fabricated at Manufacturers works, transported and fitted to vessels during dry docking.

For a typical Bulk Carrier or Oil Tanker loss of energy through hull resistance is around 30% and this increases with growth of hull roughness due to bio-fouling. To minimize growth of bio-fouling, superior anti-fouling coatings were applied on all above five ships and Jag Arya during their respective dry dockings during the financial year.

Fuel oil consumption figures in main engines during loaded passages and ballast passages of above vessels at various speeds and similar operating conditions prior to dry dockings with clean hulls and post dry dockings were compared to evaluate percentage savings and conservative saving figures ranging between 3% and 7% were found. The findings corroborated with Model Test Reports of Makers of ESDs.

During the financial year, based on above evaluation the reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> emission is estimated to be 13,900 MT from energy saving retrofits and use of superior anti-fouling hull coatings alone.

During the year a pilot project of evaluating benefits of sensor based Performance Monitoring System, a new technology being applied onboard ships, for fuel efficiency was completed. The pilot ships were Jag Lok, Jag Lalit, Jag Aditi, and Jag Aarati.

A Performance Monitoring System is an IT based system installed on board ship continuously gathering information through sensors of all relevant parameters i.e. fuel consumption rate, main engine power output, wind speed, trim etc. Thus it provides on board continuous overall ship status information through on-line processing of a complete set of relevant parameters. Availability of a prompt and continuous display of actual ship status allows shipboard staff to be constantly aware of real time vessel fuel efficiency performance and thus enable them to instantly evaluate the impact of any action they might take for improvement (such as trim variation, course deviation, engine speed etc.). The same data is transmitted to shore office through satellite communication for analysis with a view to enhance fuel efficiency and thus reduce greenhouse gas emission.

The Performance Monitoring System hardware and software had been procured during FY 2014-2015 from Iceland based MARORKA ehf., a pioneer and market leader in this area of technology.

The project has added value to G E Shipping's experience in monitoring vessel performance using latest technology. The system provides the ability to see real time energy consumption data on board ships and ashore and has proven to be very useful in decision making for fuel saving.

#### **4.1.2 Energy Saving Devices FY 2014-2015**

During FY 2014-2015 following Energy Saving Devices were retrofitted for reducing fuel consumption of main propulsion system:

- a) The existing propeller of Jag Lavanya was replaced with a high efficiency Kappel Tip Fin Propeller during the vessel's dry docking making it the first large commercial vessel in the world to be retrofitted with such a propeller supplied by MAN Diesel & Turbo.
- b) Jag Lyall was retrofitted with Mewis Duct, a device which improves the flow of water on to propeller and thus its efficiency.

To minimize growth of bio-fouling superior anti-fouling coatings were applied on Jag Lyall, Jag Amisha and Jag Aparna during their respective dry dockings during the financial year.

#### **4.1.3 Year wise cumulative savings**

**Table 4.1.3**

<b>Financial Years</b>	<b>Estimated Reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> emission due to retrofitment of Energy Saving Devices and application of superior antifouling hull coatings</b>
2014-2015	7008 MT
2015-2016	13,900 MT

## **4.2 GHG Reduction strategy and target**

### **4.2.1 Mandatory Requirements**

Ships on international trade are subjected to mandatory requirement of reduction / control of GHG emission since 1<sup>st</sup> January 2013 as per Chapter 4, Annex VI of MARPOL Convention. The technical requirements aimed to reduce GHG emissions from ships include two types of measures:

- .1 Energy Efficiency Design Index (EEDI) for new ships;
- .2 Ship Energy Efficiency Management Plan (SEMP) for all ships

The intent of EEDI is to set a mandatory benchmark for the efficient design i.e. fuel consumption and thereby give an indication of its carbon dioxide emissions, of new ships. The formula is targeted at creating a benchmark level for emissions from ship types and size ranges by way of giving an indication of the grammes of CO<sub>2</sub> emitted per tonne mile of cargo moved. The benchmark level is lowered in a phased manner as technology and design improvements make vessels more efficient.

SEEMP is a system for monitoring, recording and reporting of ship performance, which will be used by ship operators to enhance the energy efficiency and the emissions performance of their ships by applying technical and operational measures to improve fuel efficiency.

All our new buildings are built in compliance with EEDI requirements and existing ships are provided with SEEMP and issued with International Energy Efficiency Certificates as per MARPOL Convention.

### **4.2.2 Company GHG reduction strategy**

G E Shipping, as a strategy, believes in maintaining its ships in as fuel efficient condition as possible for following reasons:

1. To maintain competitive advantage for its vessels in chartering market;
2. To reduce its carbon footprint for environmental benefit and as a fight against climate change;
3. To remain prepared for any future regulatory requirement related to GHG reduction and climate change;
4. Sustainability of business.

The Company has a strategy of replacing its older tonnage with younger and more fuel efficient ships as well as enhancing the energy efficiency of individual identified vessels through technological retrofits.

### **4.2.3 Setting GHG reduction target**

G E Shipping is tracking energy efficiency intensity of its vessels as per "MEPC.1/Circ.684 - Guidelines for voluntary use of the Ship Energy Efficiency Operational Indicator (EEOI)" since 2010 and had set a reduction target of 2.5% for the biennial 2013-2014 against Baseline Average EEOI (Loaded) of years 2010-2011. The intent of EEOI is to provide a measure of how efficiently a given ship is operated, i.e. how much cargo it moves for the fuel used. It works by calculating cargo, fuel and distance for each voyage leg, averaged over a period (usually 12 months) as it will provide a standardized way of communicating a ship's energy performance in operation. While some ships met the target some could not. Company found several limitations in setting a reduction target based on EEOI due to following reasons:

1. The speed of a ship varies in accordance with the prevailing charter hire rate and bunker price. These two elements, in varying degrees, influence a vessel's speed and consequently fuel consumption. These in turn create difficulty in trying to establish a fuel efficiency standard for the existing ships since a ship's speed is not constant over time.
2. It is also a fact that in a depressed freight market the fuel efficiency values of the individual ships (e.g. kg/nm, gm/t-nm) would remain low but with an improved freight market and/or with lower bunker cost the ships will increase their speeds resulting in higher fuel consumption and consequently kg/nm and gm/t-nm values. This phenomenon was noted following world economic meltdown in 2008 and reduced trading activity when slow steaming was resorted to by the world fleet to reduce operational cost. This resulted in lower EEOI values. However, with crash of oil price since end-2014 with improved oil trading activity at least the oil tankers' operational speed have gone up and this has resulted in higher EEOI values for such types of vessels.
3. Moreover, fuel consumption of a ship (considering the machineries are in efficient condition) varies based on quantity of cargo carried, trim, weather condition (specifically wind force and wave) and hull roughness. All these factors influence the fuel efficiency of a vessel. Without carrying out detailed calculations normalizing the effects of all these factors it is futile trying to establish a fuel efficiency standard of ships.

However, a good correlation between the Energy Efficiency Design Index (EEDI) and the EEOI across different ship sizes were noted.

Notwithstanding above, in absence of any other internationally recognized energy efficiency matrix EEOI is continued to be used as a measure for GHG reduction target and further reduction target of 2.5% for biennial 2015-2016 has been set against Base year EEOI (Loaded) values for those ships which have met the targets for the remaining vessels original targets have been kept unchanged.

G E Shipping is committed on continuous improvement in energy saving and reduction of GHG emission from ships. Towards this end we as a leading stakeholder actively contribute in development of international regulatory regimes and guidelines related to reduction of GHG emission from international shipping at Marine Environment Protection Committee of International Maritime Organization. It is currently participating in Working Group on "Further Technical and Operational Measures for Enhancing the Energy Efficiency of International Shipping", "Correspondence Group on Data Collection System" and "Correspondence Group on EEDI Review".

## **Chapter 5 – GHG Disclosure**

### **Scope 1 emission**

- Emission resulting from combustion of fuel oils in company owned and managed vessels' main engines, auxiliary engines, boilers, inert gas generators (fitted on some of the tankers) and auxiliary engines for Frame pumps (fitted on some of the tankers).
- Emission resulting from unintentional releases of hydrofluorocarbon (HFC) used as refrigerants for air conditioning and provision refrigeration plants on company owned and managed vessels.

**Table 5.1 - FY 2015-2016 (All figures are in MT)**

Vessels	HSFO	LSFO	MDO	LSMGO	Total CO <sub>2</sub>
Suezmax					
Jag Lakshita	8883.60	0.00	2.20	168.10	28213.07
Jag Lateef	11128.02	16.80	9.20	65.30 (-3.1)	34948.27
Jag Lok	11575.50	2.40	0.00	39.10	36183.57
Jag Lalit	12741.45	0.40	0.00	169.54	40226.76
Aframax					
Jag Lata	10287.50	168.07	0.00	189.20	33169.40
Jag Laxmi	8522.75	0.00	0.00	142.50	27000.11
Jag Lavanya	8717.63	4.50	49.50	0.00	27322.90
Jag Lyall	7211.15	0.00	0.00	113.40	22821.97
LR1					
Jag Aabha	8119.96	12.30	117.90	744.50	28091.96
Jag Aanchal	8665.68	0.00	103.00	0.00	27318.61
Jag Amisha	7351.81	0.31	327.08	40.50	24075.90
Jag Aparna	7896.28	0.00	90.13	89.90	25169.35
MR					
Jag Padma (new)	1116.99	0.22	0.00	134.30	3910.00
Jag Pahel	2880.46	857.21	227.15	0.00	12368.84
Jag Pankhi	4317.16	0.00	49.30	587.58	15487.20
Jag Prabha	5584.79	20.90	34.24	3.78	17580.25
Jag Pranam	4762.87	0.00	1.12	1196.99	18674.62
Jag Pranav	4404.18	0.00	203.40	8.60	14396.05
Jag Prakash	5107.94	3.00	130.67	10.50	16370.10
Jag Prerana	4021.80	0.00	238.70	6.00	13310.00
Jag Pushpa	4554.21	0.00	358.62	1.00	15336.57
Gas Carrier					
Jag Vishnu	5493.68	0.00	54.28	0.00	17283.54
Kamsarmax					
Jag Aarati	5130.20	1.60	128.05	112.27	16752.94
Jag Aditi	5008.77	99.90	21.70	344.27	17083.74
Jag Arnav	4360.48	0.00	6.13	65.92	13811.27
Jag Arya	5663.31	71.29	46.44	13.50	18052.01
Supramax					
Jag Rahul	3350.47	3.41	63.45	0.20	10649.39
Jag Rani	3519.66	0.15	13.17	0.00	11004.32
Jag Ratan	3284.16	0.00	50.42	0.00	10389.83
Jag Rishi	4079.33	25.70	33.50	1.90	12898.20
Jag Roopa	3629.85	157.93	47.44	8.00	11974.40
<b>Total</b>					<b>621875.17</b>

Emission of CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O from combustion of above fuel is derived from Table 3.4 and given in Table 5.2 below in CO<sub>2</sub>e.

**Table 5.2 – CO<sub>2</sub>e from emission of CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O from fuel burnt during FY 2015-2016**

Type of Fuel	Mass of Fuel (MT)	CO <sub>2</sub> e of CH <sub>4</sub> (MT)	CO <sub>2</sub> e of N <sub>2</sub> O (MT)	Total CO <sub>2</sub> e (MT)
Total HFO (HSFO + LSFO)	192817.73	289.23T	9193.55	9482.78
Total MDO (MDO + LSMGO)	6663.64	10.00T	297.86	307.86
<b>Total</b>				<b>9790.64</b>

Emission of refrigerants from Provision cooling plants and Air conditioning plants of individual vessels were recorded from Monthly EMS data sent from ships. Total emission from refrigerants during the Reporting period and its CO<sub>2</sub>e is given in Table 5.3 below. Emission Factors are taken from Table 2.7.

**Table 5.3 – Emission of refrigerant and its CO<sub>2</sub>e**

Type of refrigerant	Mass in Kgs.	CO <sub>2</sub> e (MT)
R 22	175.5	317.65
R 404a	1051.0	4122.02
R 407c	0.0	0.0
<b>Total</b>		<b>4439.67</b>

Total Scope 1 CO<sub>2</sub>e emission from all sources Table 5.1 + Table 5.2 + Table 5.3 = **636105.48 Tonnes**

#### **Scope 2 Emission**

- Emission from generation of purchased electricity consumed at Ocean House, Head Office of G E Shipping based in Mumbai and training academy of the Company known as Great Eastern Institute of Maritime Studies at Lonavala in Maharashtra.

**Table 5.4 - Electricity Consumed and CO<sub>2</sub>e Emission during FY 2015-2016**

Purchased and consumed electricity			CO <sub>2</sub> emission Factor as per CO <sub>2</sub> Baseline Database for the Indian Power Sector (Version 11.0, April 2016) published by Government of India	Total CO <sub>2</sub> e (MT)
At Ocean House, Head Office of G E Shipping in Mumbai (MWh)	At Great Eastern Institute of Maritime Studies at Lonavala in Maharashtra (MWh)	Total (MWh)		
15.164	807.111	822.275	0.82	<b>674.26</b>

Total Scope 2 CO<sub>2</sub>e emission from all sources Table 5.4 = **674.26 Tonnes**

## Chapter 6 – Report Conclusion

G E Shipping is committed to low carbon shipping for sustainable development.

G E Shipping has been taking proactive steps for reduction of GHG emission from its vessels for past many years and has been monitoring GHG emission per unit of transport work for fleet vessels since 2010 for bench marking its performance. In order to ensure that the quantification and reporting of GHG emission from fleet vessels is done as per recognized international standard duly verified by third party, G E Shipping has decided to follow ISO 14064-1 and is publishing this report for all its stakeholders.

<b>Financial year (Base Year)</b>	<b>Scope 1</b>	<b>Scope 2</b>
2015-2016 (1 <sup>st</sup> April 2015 – 31 <sup>st</sup> March 2016)	<b>636105.48 MT</b>	<b>674.26 MT</b>

## Chapter 7 - References

- MEPC.245(66) - 2014 Guidelines on the Method of Calculation of the Attained Energy Efficiency Design Index (EEDI) For New Ships.
- Third IMO GHG Study 2014
- IPCC Fourth Assessment Report: Climate Change 2007

## Chapter 8 -Abbreviations

CSR – Corporate Social Responsibility

CFC - Chlorofluorocarbons

HFC – Hydrofluorocarbons

HCFC – hydrochlorofluorocarbons

HFO – Heavy fuel oil

EF – Emission factor

HSFO – High sulphur fuel oil

GHG – Green House Gas

IT – Information Technology

IMO – International Maritime Organization

KPI – Key Performance Indicator

GWP – Global Warming Potential

IPCC – Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change

ISO – International Organization for Standardization

LSFO – Low sulphur fuel oil

LSMGO – Low sulphur marine gas oil

MIS – Management information system

MARPOL - International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships

MEPC – Marine Environment Protection Committee

MDO – Marine diesel oil

## Annexure I

### Conversion Factors

1. The various conversion factors / emission factors used in this assertion Report are as follows:

#### 1.1 Global warming potential of refrigerant emission from ships

The GWP100 is described relative to CO<sub>2</sub> warming potential (IPCC Fourth Assessment Report: Climate Change 2007)

Refrigerant	CO <sub>2e</sub>
R-22	1810
R404a	3922
R407c	1774

#### 1.2 Type of Fuel Consumed on fleet vessels and Conversion Factor

Type of Fuel	Reference	Carbon content	Emission Factor (t-CO <sub>2</sub> / t-Fuel)
Diesel / Gas Oil	ISO 8217 Grades DMX	0.8744	3.206
Heavy Fuel Oil (HFO)	ISO 8217 Grades RME and RMG	0.8493	3.114

##### 1.2.1 Sources of emission, types of fuels used and their conversion factors

Source	Type of fuel oil	Emission Factor (t-CO <sub>2</sub> / t-Fuel)
Main Engine	Heavy Fuel Oil – High Sulphur (HSFO)	3.114
	Heavy Fuel Oil – Low Sulphur (LSFO)	3.114
	Marine Diesel Oil (MDO)	3.206
	Low Sulphur Marine Gas Oil (LSMGO)	3.206
Auxiliary Engine	Heavy Fuel Oil – High Sulphur (HSFO)	3.114
	Heavy Fuel Oil – Low Sulphur (LSFO)	3.114
	Marine Diesel Oil (MDO)	3.206
	Low Sulphur Marine Gas Oil (LSMGO)	3.206
Boiler	Heavy Fuel Oil – High Sulphur (HSFO)	3.114
	Heavy Fuel Oil – Low Sulphur (LSFO)	3.114
	Marine Diesel Oil (MDO)	3.206
	Low Sulphur Marine Gas Oil (LSMGO)	3.206
Inert gas generators	Marine Diesel Oil (MDO)	3.206
	Low Sulphur Marine Gas Oil (LSMGO)	3.206
Auxiliary engines for Framo pumps	Marine Diesel Oil (MDO)	3.206
	Low Sulphur Marine Gas Oil (LSMGO)	3.206

### 1.3 Estimation of emissions factors

Emission Factors (EFs) are obtained from Table 34 – Emissions factors for top-down emissions from combustion of fuel given in Third IMO GHG Study 2014. The estimation was also compared against Annex 6, Table 22 – Baseline Emission Factors given in the same study derived from bottom-top approach. Some differences with respect to some of the gases were noted however these were not significant in nature. The emission factors used are as follows:

Emission substance	Marine HFO emission factor g/g fuel	Marine MDO emissions factor (g/g fuel)	CO <sub>2e</sub>
CO <sub>2</sub>	3.11400	3.20600	1
CH <sub>4</sub>	0.00006	0.00006	25
N <sub>2</sub> O	0.00016	0.00015	298

### 1.4 CO<sub>2e</sub> emission Factor for unit of electricity consumed

As per CO<sub>2</sub> Baseline Database for the Indian Power Sector (Version 11.0, April 2016) published by Government of India, Ministry of Power, Central Electricity Authority CO<sub>2e</sub> emission factor for every MWh electricity consumed : 0.82.

*Table S-1: Weighted average emission factor, simple operating margin (OM), build margin (BM) and combined margin (CM) of the Indian Grid for FY 2014-15 (adjusted for cross-border electricity transfers), in t CO<sub>2</sub>/MWh*

Average	OM	BM	CM
0.82	0.99	0.93	0.96

Average is the average emission of all stations in the grid, weighted by net generation.

OM is the average emission from all stations excluding the low cost/must run sources.

BM is the average emission of the 20% (by net generation) most recent capacity addition in the grid.

CM is a weighted average of the OM and BM (here weighted 50 : 50).